

# Integer Programming

## ISE 418

### Lecture 18

Dr. Ted Ralphs

---

## Reading for This Lecture

- “Selected Topics in Column Generation,” Lübbecke and Desrosiers

## Column Generation

- The cutting plane method can be viewed as a technique for solving integer linear programs with a large number of constraints.
- In the context of integer programming, these large LPs arise as (partial) descriptions of the convex hull of feasible solutions to an integer program.
- *Column generation*, on the other hand, is a method for solving LPs with a large number of potential columns.
- Theoretically, this is nothing more than a cutting plane method applied to the dual linear program, but it is useful to consider the method separately.
- When column generation is combined with branch and bound, we obtain a method called *branch and price*.

## Formulations Involving Many Columns

- Formulations involving many columns can arise in a number of different ways.
  - Applying a decomposition method, such as Dantzig-Wolfe, to an existing formulation results in a reformulation with many columns.
  - Extended formulations can arise through some other reformulation technique that lifts the problem to a higher-dimensional space (lot-sizing).
  - Formulations with many columns may be the “natural” formulation for some problems.
- Even when we start natively with a formulation that has an exponential number of columns, there is often an underlying “compact formulation”.
- Typically, we have a way of writing down the set of columns as the feasible set of a mathematical program of polynomial size.
- In such a case, we can often reformulate the problem in this lower-dimensional space.

## Basic Idea of Solution Method

- We solve the LP to optimality using simplex with only a subset of the columns.
- We then ask whether any column that has been left out has positive reduced cost—if so, that column is added and we reoptimize.
- The problem of determining the column with most positive reduced cost is an *optimization problem*.
- This is called the *column generation subproblem*.

## Generic Column Generation Algorithm

- We are interested in solving an LP with a large number of columns.
- For simplicity, we assume the LP is in standard form.
- Consider the *restricted problem* obtained by considering only the subset of the columns indexed by set  $I$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max \sum_{i \in I} c_i x_i \\
 & \text{s.t.} \sum_{i \in I} A_i x_i = b \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad x \geq 0
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{RMP}$$

- Solve this LP and calculate the optimal dual solution  $u$ .
- Now generate a new column  $A_j$  for which  $c_j - c_B B^{-1} A_j = c_j - u A_j > 0$ .
- This can be done by solving the *column generation subproblem*

$$\max_{a \in C} c_a - u a,$$

where  $C$  is the global set of columns.

## Overall Algorithm

- 1: Generate initial columns and add them to the restricted set  $I_0$ .
- 2:  $k \leftarrow 0$ ,  $\bar{c}_{max} \leftarrow \infty$
- 3: **while**  $\bar{c}_{max} > 0$  **do**
- 4:     Solve the *restricted master problem*

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i \in I_k} c_i x_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i \in I_k} A_i x_i = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{RMP})$$

to obtain the dual solution  $u^k$ .

- 5:     Solve the *column-generation subproblem* to obtain

$$a^* \in \operatorname{argmax}_{a \in C} c_a - u^k a,$$

and set  $\bar{c}_{max} = c_{a^*} - u^k a^*$

- 6:     **if**  $\bar{c}_{max} > 0$  **then**
- 7:          $I_{k+1} \leftarrow I_k \cup \{a^*\}$
- 8:     **end if**
- 9:      $k \leftarrow k + 1$
- 10: **end while**

## Example: The Cutting Stock Problem

- The cutting stock problem was one of the first applications of column generation.
- We are selling rolls of paper in specified widths  $w_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .
- For each width  $i$ , we have a given demand  $d_i$  that must be satisfied.
- There are large rolls from which the smaller rolls are cut with width  $W$ .
- We want to minimize the total number of larger rolls we need to use.
- An IP formulation of this problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i a^i \geq d \\ & \lambda_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n, \\ & \lambda_i \text{ integer}, i = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

where the columns  $a^i$  represent the *feasible patterns*.



## Pattern Generation for Cutting Stock

- The potential columns correspond to feasible *patterns*.
- A given column vector  $a$  corresponds to a feasible pattern if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^m w_i a_i \leq W$$

and  $a$  contains only non-negative integers.

- The objective function coefficient of every pattern (column) is 1.
- Finding the column with the smallest reduced cost is a knapsack problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m u_i a_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m w_i a_i \leq W \\ & a_i \geq 0 \\ & a_i \text{ integer} \end{aligned}$$

## Example: Set Partitioning Models

- Recall the [set partitioning problem](#).
- In this problem,  $A$  is a 0-1 matrix and we wish to find

$$\min\{cx \mid Ax = 1, x \in \mathbb{B}^n\}$$

- Examples of Set Partitioning Models
  - [Airline Crew Scheduling](#)
  - [Winner Determination in Combinatorial Auctions](#)
  - [Vehicle Routing](#)
- Note that in each case, the columns have to satisfy a particular structure that defines the *column generation subproblem*.
- One advantage of these formulations is that we can implicitly introduce constraints that are otherwise difficult to model.

## Generating Initial Columns

- One aspect that is very different from a typical cutting plane method is that we do not necessarily have a feasible linear program to begin with.
- In fact, we may not even have a feasible relaxation.
- We need to generate some initial columns.
- How this is done has a big affect on the effectiveness of the overall algorithm.
- Options
  - Use knowledge of problem structure to generate solutions heuristically.
  - Use problem structure to generate a set of solutions guaranteed to be feasible.
  - Solve the subproblem with randomly perturbed objectives.
  - Do a few iterations of Lagrangian relaxation.
  - Use the generic phase 1 (discussed next).

## A Generic Algorithm for Phase I

- The initial set of columns may not yield a feasible relaxation.
- The proof of infeasibility is a dual ray with negative cost that can serve as an objective in finding the next column.
- Essentially, we want to find a new column that “cuts” this dual ray.
- This process continues until the relaxation is feasible.
- Alternatively, we can add artificial variables to ensure feasibility.
- These options mirror the ones we have in standard linear programming.

## Using Heuristic Methods to Solve the Subproblem

- Technically, all that is needed in each iteration is *some* column with positive reduced cost.
- It may not matter if the entering column is the one with the *most* positive reduced cost.
- We can thus use a quick and dirty heuristic method to generate columns initially.
- We can stop anytime after we find a column with positive reduced cost.
- Note, however, that we do not get a true bound in this case.

## Stabilization

- One of the well-known difficulties with column generation is the slow convergence.
- This can sometimes be due to wild fluctuations in the dual solution.
- There are a number of techniques for dealing with this phenomena.
  - Artificially bounding the dual variables.
  - Penalizing changes in the dual solution.
  - Trust region method.
  - Weighted Dantzig-Wolfe.
  - Using an interior point method to solve the RMP.
- These methods can have a big impact in practice.