

Integer Programming

ISE 418

Lecture 18

Dr. Ted Ralphs

Reading for This Lecture

- “Selected Topics in Column Generation,” Lübbecke and Desrosiers

Column Generation

- The cutting plane method can be viewed as a technique for solving integer linear programs with a large number of constraints.
- In the context of integer programming, these large LPs arise as (partial) descriptions of the convex hull of feasible solutions to an integer program.
- *Column generation*, on the other hand, is a method for solving LPs with a large number of potential columns.
- Theoretically, this is nothing more than a cutting plane method applied to the dual linear program, but it is useful to consider the method separately.
- When column generation is combined with branch and bound, we obtain a method called *branch and price*.

Formulations Involving Many Columns

- Formulations involving many columns can arise in a number of different ways.
 - Applying a decomposition method, such as Dantzig-Wolfe, to an existing formulation results in a reformulation with many columns.
 - Extended formulations can arise through some other reformulation technique that lifts the problem to a higher-dimensional space (lot-sizing).
 - Formulations with many columns may be the “natural” formulation for some problems.
- Even when we start natively with a formulation that has an exponential number of columns, there is often an underlying “compact formulation”.
- Typically, we have a way of writing down the set of columns as the feasible set of a mathematical program of polynomial size.
- In such a case, we can often reformulate the problem in this lower-dimensional space.

Basic Idea of Solution Method

- We solve the LP to optimality using simplex with only a subset of the columns.
- We then ask whether any column that has been left out has positive reduced cost—if so, that column is added and we reoptimize.
- The problem of determining the column with most positive reduced cost is an **optimization problem**.
- This is called the *column generation subproblem*.

Generic Column Generation Algorithm

- We are interested in solving an LP with a large number of columns.
- For simplicity, we assume the LP is in standard form.
- Consider the *restricted problem* obtained by considering only the subset of the columns indexed by set I .

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max \sum_{i \in I} c_i x_i \\
 & \text{s.t.} \sum_{i \in I} A_i x_i = b \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad x \geq 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{RMP}$$

- Solve this LP and calculate the optimal dual solution u .
- Now generate a new column A_j for which $c_j - c_B B^{-1} A_j = c_j - u A_j > 0$.
- This can be done by solving the *column generation subproblem*

$$\max_{a \in C} c_a - u a,$$

where C is the global set of columns.

Overall Algorithm

- 1: Generate initial columns and add them to the restricted set I_0 .
- 2: $k \leftarrow 0$, $\bar{c}_{max} \leftarrow \infty$
- 3: **while** $\bar{c}_{max} > 0$ **do**
- 4: Solve the *restricted master problem*

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i \in I_k} c_i x_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i \in I_k} A_i x_i = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{RMP})$$

to obtain the dual solution u^k .

- 5: Solve the *column-generation subproblem* to obtain

$$a^* \in \operatorname{argmax}_{a \in C} c_a - u^k a,$$

and set $\bar{c}_{max} = c_{a^*} - u^k a^*$

- 6: **if** $\bar{c}_{max} > 0$ **then**
- 7: $I_{k+1} \leftarrow I_k \cup \{a^*\}$
- 8: **end if**
- 9: $k \leftarrow k + 1$
- 10: **end while**

Example: The Cutting Stock Problem

- The cutting stock problem was one of the first applications of column generation.
- We are selling rolls of paper in specified widths w_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$.
- For each width i , we have a given demand d_i that must be satisfied.
- There are large rolls from which the smaller rolls are cut with width W .
- We want to minimize the total number of larger rolls we need to use.
- An IP formulation of this problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i a^i \geq d \\ & \lambda_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n, \\ & \lambda_i \text{ integer}, i = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

where the columns a^i represent the *feasible patterns*.

Pattern Generation for Cutting Stock

- The potential columns correspond to feasible *patterns*.
- A given column vector a corresponds to a feasible pattern if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^m w_i a_i \leq W$$

and a contains only non-negative integers.

- The objective function coefficient of every pattern (column) is 1.
- Finding the column with the smallest reduced cost is a knapsack problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m u_i a_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m w_i a_i \leq W \\ & a_i \geq 0 \\ & a_i \text{ integer} \end{aligned}$$

Example: Set Partitioning Models

- Recall the [set partitioning problem](#).
- In this problem, A is a 0-1 matrix and we wish to find

$$\min\{cx \mid Ax = 1, x \in \mathbb{B}^n\}$$

- Examples of Set Partitioning Models
 - [Airline Crew Scheduling](#)
 - [Winner Determination in Combinatorial Auctions](#)
 - [Vehicle Routing](#)
- Note that in each case, the columns have to satisfy a particular structure that defines the *column generation subproblem*.
- One advantage of these formulations is that we can implicitly introduce constraints that are otherwise difficult to model.

Generating Initial Columns

- One aspect that is very different from a typical cutting plane method is that we do not necessarily have a feasible linear program to begin with.
- In fact, we may not even have a feasible relaxation.
- We need to generate some initial columns.
- How this is done has a big affect on the effectiveness of the overall algorithm.
- Options
 - Use knowledge of problem structure to generate solutions heuristically.
 - Use problem structure to generate a set of solutions guaranteed to be feasible.
 - Solve the subproblem with randomly perturbed objectives.
 - Do a few iterations of Lagrangian relaxation.
 - Use the generic phase 1 (discussed next).

A Generic Algorithm for Phase I

- The initial set of columns may not yield a feasible relaxation.
- The proof of infeasibility is a dual ray with negative cost that can serve as an objective in finding the next column.
- Essentially, we want to find a new column that “cuts” this dual ray.
- This process continues until the relaxation is feasible.
- Alternatively, we can add artificial variables to ensure feasibility.
- These options mirror the ones we have in standard linear programming.

Using Heuristic Methods to Solve the Subproblem

- Technically, all that is needed in each iteration is *some* column with positive reduced cost.
- It may not matter if the entering column is the one with the *most* positive reduced cost.
- We can thus use a quick and dirty heuristic method to generate columns initially.
- We can stop anytime after we find a column with positive reduced cost.
- Note, however, that we do not get a true bound in this case.

Stabilization

- One of the well-known difficulties with column generation is the slow convergence.
- This can sometimes be due to wild fluctuations in the dual solution.
- There are a number of techniques for dealing with this phenomena.
 - Artificially bounding the dual variables.
 - Penalizing changes in the dual solution.
 - Trust region method.
 - Weighted Dantzig-Wolfe.
 - Using an interior point method to solve the RMP.
- These methods can have a big impact in practice.