

# Advanced Mathematical Programming

## IE417

### Lecture 19

Dr. Ted Ralphs

---

## Reading for this lecture

- Sections 9.1-9.2

## Constrained Optimization

- In Chapter 9, we look at methods based on applying unconstrained methods to constrained problems.
- Idea: Penalize violations of the constraints in the objective function.
- Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \min f(x) \\ \text{s.t. } h(x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Try  $\min\{f(x) + [h(x)]^2\}$ . Will this work?

## Penalty Functions

- A *suitable penalty function*  $\alpha$  is

$$\alpha(x) = \sum \phi(g_i(x)) + \sum \psi(h_i(x))$$

where

- $\phi(y) = 0$  if  $y \leq 0$
- $\phi(y) > 0$  if  $y > 0$
- $\psi(y) = 0$  if  $y = 0$
- $\psi(y) > 0$  if  $y \neq 0$

## Performance of Penalty Methods

- Suppose we simply solve  $\min\{f(x) + \mu\alpha(x) : x \in X\}$  for some suitable penalty function and some  $\mu > 0$ .
- Consider solving the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \Theta(\mu) \\ \text{s.t. } \mu \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Theta(\mu) = \inf\{f(x) + \mu\alpha(x) : x \in X\}$ .

- What will the solution be?

## Main result on Penalty Methods

- As long as the following **assumptions** are satisfied:
  - The problem is feasible,
  - All the functions involved are continuous,
  - $\{x_\mu\}$  is contained in a compact set,

then

$$\begin{aligned}\inf\{f(x) : x \in X, g(x) \leq 0, h(x) = 0\} &= \sup\{\Theta(\mu) : \mu \geq 0\} \\ &= \lim\{\Theta(\mu)\}\end{aligned}$$

- Also, if  $x_\mu$  is the min point for a particular penalty  $\mu$ , then every limit point of  $\{x_\mu\}$  is optimal, and
  - $f(x_\mu)$  is a nondecreasing function of  $\mu$ ,
  - $\Theta(\mu)$  is a nondecreasing function of  $\mu$ ,
  - $\alpha(x_\mu)$  is a nonincreasing function of  $\mu$ ,
  - $\mu\alpha(x_\mu) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ .
- Note that this implies that if  $\alpha(x_\mu) = 0$  for some  $\mu$ , then  $x_\mu$  is optimal.

## Penalty Functions and KKT

- Under certain conditions, we can use the solution of the penalty problem to derive Lagrange multipliers.
- Suppose  $\{x_\mu\}$  converges to a *regular solution*  $x^*$ . For each  $x_\mu$ , we have

$$\nabla f(x_\mu) + \sum \mu \phi'(g_i(x_\mu)) \nabla g_i(x_\mu) + \sum \mu \psi'(h_i(x_\mu)) \nabla h_i(x_\mu) = 0$$

- Furthermore,  $g_i(x_\mu) < 0 \forall i \notin I$  if  $\mu$  is sufficiently large.
- If we define  $(u_\mu)_i \equiv \mu \phi'(g_i(x_\mu))$  and  $(v_\mu)_i \equiv \mu \psi'(h_i(x_\mu))$ , these values converge to the optimal multipliers.

## Implementing Penalty Methods

- Initialization: Choose a termination scalar  $\varepsilon > 0$ , an initial point  $x_1$ , an initial penalty parameter  $\mu_1 > 0$ , and a scalar  $\beta > 0$ . Set  $k = 1$ .
- Loop
  - Minimize  $f(x) + \mu_k \alpha(x)$  subject to  $x \in X$  to obtain  $x_{k+1}$ .
  - If  $\mu_k \alpha(x_{k+1}) < \varepsilon$ , then STOP. Otherwise, let  $\mu_{k+1} = \beta \mu_k$ , replace  $k$  by  $k + 1$  and iterate.
- Notice the relationship to solving the Lagrangian dual.



## Computational Issues

- We can get arbitrarily close to an optimal solution with a large enough  $\mu$ .
- However, large multipliers can cause serious numerical difficulties.
- Intuitively, this is because feasibility tends to dominate optimality.
- More formally, large values of  $\mu$  result in a severely ill-conditioned Hessian.
- Quasi-Newton methods can help overcome this problem.