Graphs and Network Flows
IE411

Lecture 14

Dr. Ted Ralphs

Review: Labeling Algorithm

- Pros
  - Guaranteed to solve any max flow problem with integral arc capacities
  - Provides constructive tool for establishing max-flow min-cut theorem
- Cons
  - $\mathcal{O}(mnU)$ complexity is unattractive for large U values
  - Might converge to non-optimal solution with irrational arc capacities
  - Requires too much time for large problems

Reducing the Complexity

- To improve complexity, we must reduce the number of augmentations by choosing the augmenting paths wisely.
  - Maximum capacity paths: More costly per iteration, but reduces the number of iterations to $m \log U$.
  - Shortest paths: Reduces the number of iterations to mn.
- Later, we will see a generalization of the shortest augmenting path algorithm called the *preflow-push algorithm* that relaxes the mass balance constraints.

Maximum Capacity Path: Augmentations

- Suppose we have a feasible flow of value v and that the optimal flow has value v^*.
- By the flow decomposition theorem, we can decompose the residual graph into at most m paths, whose capacities sum to $v^* - v$.
- Hence, there must be at least one path with capacity more than $(v^* - v)/m$.
- Consider doing another $2m$ sugmentations.
- Either find a maximum flow or else one of these augmentations must have value less than $(v^* - v)/2m$.
- Thus, in $O(m)$ iterations, we reduce the maximum capacity of an augmenting path by a factor of 2.
- We must find the max flow in $O(m \log U)$ iterations.

Maximum Capacity Path: Cost per Augmentation

- The most straightforward way to implementat the maximum capacity path algorithm is to find the maximum capacity path in each iteration.
- We use a variant of Dijkstra's algorithm in which we label each node with an estimate of the maximum capacity of a path to that node.
- The cost per iteration is increased to $O(m \log n)$.
- We can eliminate the factor of $\log n$ by using *capacity scaling*.
  - Only allow arcs whose residual capacity is above a threshold into the residual graph.
  - Once no augmenting path is found, reduce the threshold by half.
- This approach yields an algorithm with running time $O(m^2 logU)$.
- It can be further reduced to $O(mn \log U)$ using ideas we will see next.

Shortest Augmenting Path: Iterations

- By finding the shortest augmenting path in each iteration, we can reduce the number of iterations to $O(mn)$.
- The basic idea is that every augmentation along a shortest path increases the distance of nodes in the residual graph from the source.
  - At least one arc is saturated with each push.
  - For this arc to be saturated again, the reverse arc will have to be used on a subsequent augmenting path.
  - This subsequent augmenting path must be strictly longer.
  - The maximum length of an augmenting path is n
  - Thus, the number of times an arc can be saturated is at most $O(n)$.
  - Hence, the maximum number of augmentations is $O(mn)$.

Shortest Augmenting Path: Cost per Augmentation

- We can find the shortest augmenting path by a BFS of the the residual graph.
- This means the cost per augmenting path is $O(m)$.
- The overall running time would be $O(m^2 n)$.
- We can improve this by not finding the shortest paths from scratch each time.

Distance Based Algorithms

A *distance function* $d : N \rightarrow Z^+ \cup \{0\}$ with respect to the residual capacity r_{ij} is *valid* with respect to a flow x if it satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} d(t) &= 0 \\ d(i) &\leq d(j) + 1 \ \forall (i,j) \in G(x) \end{aligned}$$

Property 1. [7.1] *If the distance labels are valid, $d(i)$ is a lower bound on the length of the shortest (directed) path from node i to node t in the residual network.*

Property 2. [7.2] *If $d(s) \geq n$, then the residual network contains no directed path from s to t.*

Distance labels are *exact* if $d(i)$ equals the length of the shortest path from i to t in $G(x)$ for all $i \in N$.

Admissible Arcs and Paths

An arc $(i, j) \in G(x)$ is *admissible* if it satisfies $d(i) = d(j) + 1$.

An *admissible path* is a path from s to t consisting entirely of admissible arcs.

Property 3. [7.3] *An admissible path is a shortest augmenting path from the source to the sink.*

Shortest Augmenting Path (SAP) Algorithm

- Always augments flow along a shortest path from s to t in $G(x)$.
- We proceed by augmenting flows along admissible paths.
- We constructs an admissible path incrementally – adding one arc at a time.
- We maintain a partial admissible path and iteratively performs *advance* or *retreat* operations from current node.
- Repeat operations until partial admissible path reaches sink node.

SAP Algorithm with Distance Labels

Input: A network $G = (N, A)$ and a vector of capacities $u \in \mathbb{Z}^A$
Output: x represents the maximum flow from node s to node t

$x \leftarrow 0$
obtain exact distance labels $d(i)$
$i \leftarrow s$
while $d(s) < n$ **do**
  if i has an admissible arc **then**
    advance(i)
    if $i = t$ **then**
      augment and set $i = s$
    end if
  else
    retreat(i)
  end if
end while

SAP Algorithm Details

procedure advance(i)
let (i, j) be an admissible arc in $A(i)$
$pred(j) := i$ and $i := j$

procedure retreat(i)
$d(i) := \min\{d(j) + 1 : (i, j) \in A(i), r_{ij} > 0\}$
if $i \neq s$ **then** $i := pred(i)$

procedure augment
identify an augmenting path P using the pred() indices
$\delta := \min\{r_{ij} : (i, j) \in P\}$
augment δ units of flow along path P

SAP Algorithm Example

Correctness of SAP Algorithm

Lemma 1. [7.5] *The SAP Algorithm maintains valid distance labels at each step. Moreover, each relabel (or retreat) operation strictly increases the distance label of a node.*

Proof:
Validity of labels:

1. After augmentation: Arcs that are removed from the residual graph don't affect validity. Arcs (i, j) that get added must satisfy $d(j) = d(i) + 1$.

2. After relabeling: The new label on each node is larger than the old label. Therefore, incoming arcs are not affected. Further, all outgoing arcs are inadmissible.

Complexity of SAP Algorithm

Lemma 2. [7.7] *The total spent in checking for admissible arcs is at most* m *times the number of relabeling operations.*

Proof:
Result depends on the fact once an arc becomes inadmissible, it remains that way until there is a relabel operation. We maintain a pointer to the "current arc" and only start checking for admissible arcs from there. The pointer is reset after relabeling.

Lemma 3. [7.8] *The number of times any arc is "saturated" is at most* m *times the number of relabeling operations.*

Proof:
Between two consecutive saturations of an arc, (i, j), $d(i)$ and $d(j)$ must both be relabeled.

Complexity of SAP Algorithm

Lemma 4. [7.9] *Each distance label increases at most n times.*

Proof:
Each relabel increases the label by at least one unit. Labels cannot go above n.

Theorem 1. [7.10] *The SAP Algorithm runs in $\mathcal{O}(n^2m)$ time.*

Proof:
SAP maintains valid distance labels at each step and each relabel strictly increases the distance label of a node. There can be at most n^2 relabel operations before $d(s) \geq n$, after which there is no augmenting path from s to t. There are $O(m)$ steps per relabel operation.

Practical Improvement

- Terminates when $d(s) \geq n$.
- May spend lots of time relabeling after finding maximum flow.
- Can we detect the presence of a min-cut *before* $d(s) \geq n$?
- Suppose we maintain a n-dimensional array, $numb$. Let $numb(k)$ denote the number of nodes whose distance label equals k.

Application: Tanker Scheduling Problem

- A steamship company has contracted to deliver perishable goods between several different origin-destination cities.
- Since the cargo is perishable, it must be delivered to its destination on its delivery date.
- The objective is to determine the minimum number of ships required to meet the delivery dates of the shiploads.