

Advanced Operations Research Techniques

IE316

Lecture 22

Dr. Ted Ralphs

Reading for This Lecture

- Bertsimas Sections 10.2, 10.3, 11.1, 11.2

Branch and Bound

- *Branch and bound* is the most commonly-used algorithm for solving MILPs.
- It is a *divide and conquer* approach.
- Suppose F is the feasible region for some MILP and we wish to solve $\min_{x \in F} c^T x$.
- Consider a *partition* of F into subsets F_1, \dots, F_k . Then

$$\min_{x \in F} c^T x = \min_{\{1 \leq i \leq k\}} \{ \min_{x \in F_i} c^T x \}$$

- In other words, we can optimize over each subset separately.
- Idea: If we can't solve the original problem directly, we might be able to solve the smaller *subproblems* recursively.
- Dividing the original problem into subproblems is called *branching*.
- Taken to the extreme, this scheme is equivalent to complete enumeration.

Branch and Bound

- Next, we discuss the role of *bounding*.
- For the rest of the lecture, assume all variables have finite upper and lower bounds.
- Any feasible solution to the problem provides an **upper bound** $u(F)$ on the optimal solution value.
- We can use approximate methods to obtain an upper bound.
- Idea: After branching, try to obtain a **lower bound** $b(F_i)$ on the optimal solution value for each of the subproblems.
- If $b(F_i) \geq u(F)$, then we don't need to consider subproblem i .
- One easy way to obtain a lower bound is by solving the **LP relaxation** obtained by dropping the integrality constraints.

LP-based Branch and Bound

- In LP-based branch and bound, we first solve the LP relaxation of the original problem. The result is one of the following:
 1. The LP is infeasible \Rightarrow MILP is infeasible.
 2. We obtain a feasible solution for the MILP \Rightarrow optimal solution.
 3. We obtain an optimal solution to the LP that is not feasible for the MILP \Rightarrow lower bound.
- In the first two cases, we are finished.
- In the third case, we must branch and recursively solve the resulting subproblems.

Branching in LP-based Branch and Bound

- The most common way to **branch** is as follows:
 - Select a variable i whose value \hat{x}_i is fractional in the LP solution.
 - Create two subproblems.
 - * In one subproblem, impose the constraint $x_i \leq \lfloor \hat{x}_i \rfloor$.
 - * In the other subproblem, impose the constraint $x_i \geq \lceil \hat{x}_i \rceil$.
- Such a method of branching is called a **branching rule**.
- Why is this a valid **branching rule**?
- What does it mean in a 0-1 integer program?

Continuing the Algorithm After Branching

- After branching, we solve each of the subproblems *recursively*.
- Now we have an additional factor to consider.
- If the optimal solution value to the LP relaxation is greater than the current upper bound, we need not consider the subproblem further.
- This is the key to the efficiency of the algorithm.
- **Terminology**
 - If we picture the subproblems graphically, they form a *search tree*.
 - Each subproblem is linked to its *parent* and eventually to its *children*.
 - Eliminating a problem from further consideration is called *pruning*.
 - The act of bounding and then branching is called *processing*.
 - A subproblem that has not yet been considered is called a *candidate* for processing.
 - The set of candidates for processing is called the *candidate list*.

LP-based Branch and Bound Algorithm

1. To start, derive an upper bound U using a heuristic method.
2. Put the original problem on the candidate list.
3. Select a problem S from the candidate list and solve the LP relaxation to obtain the bound $b(S)$.
 - If the LP is infeasible \Rightarrow node can be pruned.
 - Otherwise, if $b(S) \geq U \Rightarrow$ node can be pruned.
 - Otherwise, if $b(S) < U$ and the solution is feasible for the MILP \Rightarrow set $U \leftarrow b(S)$.
 - Otherwise, branch and add the new subproblem to the candidate list.
4. If the candidate list is nonempty, go to Step 2. Otherwise, the algorithm is completed.

Choices in Branch and Bound

- Selecting the next candidate to process.
 - “Best-first” always chooses the candidate with the lowest lower bound.
 - This rule minimizes the size of the tree (why?).
 - There may be practical reasons to deviate from this rule.
- Choosing a branching rule.
 - Branching wisely is extremely important.
 - A “poor” branching can slow the algorithm significantly.
 - We will cover methods of branching in detail in IE418.
- There are also alternative methods of lower bounding, although LP relaxation is the most common.