Integer Programming IE418

Lecture 18

Dr. Ted Ralphs

Reading for This Lecture

- Nemhauser and Wolsey Sections II.1.1-II.1.3, II.1.6
- Wolsey Chapter 8
- Valid Inequalities for Mixed Integer Linear Programs, G. Cornuejols (2006)

Valid Inequalities from Disjunctions

• We continue to focus primarily on the case of a pure integer program

 $z_{IP} = \max\{cx \mid x \in S\},\$ $S = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+ \mid Ax \le b\}.$

- Valid inequalities for $\operatorname{conv}(S)$ can also be generated based on disjunctions.
- Let $\mathcal{P}_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ \mid A^i x \leq b^i\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ be such that $S \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{P}_i$.
- Then inequalities valid for $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{P}_i$ are also valid for $\operatorname{conv}(S)$.

The Union of Polyhedra

- Note that the convex hull of the union of polyhedra is not necessarily a polyhedron.
- Under mild conditions, we can characterize it, however.
- Let Y be the polyhedron described by the following constraints:

$$egin{array}{rcl} A^i x^i &\leq b^i y_i \ orall i=1,\ldots,k \ \sum\limits_{i=1}^k x^i &= x \ \sum\limits_{i=1}^k y^i &= 1 \ y &\geq 0 \end{array}$$

• Furthermore, for polyhedron \mathcal{P}_i , let $C_i = \{x : A^i x \leq 0 \text{ and let } \mathcal{P}_i = Q_i + C_i \text{ where } Q_i \text{ is a polytope.} \}$

The Convex Hull of the Union of Polyhedra

• Under the assumptions on the previous slide, we have the following result.

Proposition 1. If either $\bigcup \mathcal{P}_i = \emptyset$ or $C_j \subseteq cone \bigcup_{i: \mathcal{P}_i \neq \emptyset} C_i$ for all j such that $\mathcal{P}_j = \emptyset$, then the following sets are identical:

- $\overline{\operatorname{conv}}(\cup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{P}_i)$
- $-\operatorname{conv}(\cup Q_i) + \operatorname{cone}(\cup C_i)$
- $proj_x Y.$
- Note that the assumptions of the proposition are necessary, but are automatically satisfied if
 - $C^i = \{0\}$ whenever $\mathcal{P}^i = \emptyset$, or
 - all the polyhedra have the same recession cone.

The Convex Hull of the Union of Polyhedra (cont.)

• Note also that if all the polyhedra have the same recession cones, then $\overline{\operatorname{conv}}(\cup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{P}_i) = \operatorname{conv}(\cup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{P}_i)$ and is the projection of

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A^{i}x^{i} & \leq & b^{i}y_{i} \ \forall i=1,\ldots,k\\ \\ \sum\limits_{i=1}^{k}x^{i} & = & x\\ \\ \sum\limits_{i=1}^{k}y^{i} & = & 1\\ \\ & y & \in & \{0,1\} \end{array}$$

• This is the case when the polyhedra only differ in their right-hand sides, as is the case when branching on variables.

Valid Inequalities from Disjunctions

Another viewpoint for constructing valid inequalities based on disjunctions comes from the following result:

Proposition 2. If $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \pi_j^1 \leq \pi_0^1$ is valid for $S_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \pi_j^2 \leq \pi_0^2$ is valid for $S_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n_+$, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \min(\pi_j^1, \pi_j^2) x \le \max(\pi_0^1, \pi_0^1)$$

for $x \in S_1 \cup S_2$.

In fact, all valid inequalities for the union of two polyhedra can be obtained in this way.

Proposition 3. If $\mathcal{P}^i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ \mid A^i x \leq b^i\}$ for i = 1, 2 are nonempty polyhedra, then (π, π_0) is a valid inequality for $conv(\mathcal{P}^1 \cup \mathcal{P}^2)$ if and only if there exist $u^1, u^2 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such $\pi \leq u^i A^i$ and $\pi_0 \geq u^i b^i$ for i = 1, 2.

Strengthening Gomory Cuts Using Disjunction

- Consider again the set of solutions to an IP with one equation.
- This time, we write S equivalently as

$$S = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \mid \sum_{j: f_j \le f_0} f_j x_j + \sum_{j: f_j > f_0} (f_j - 1) x_j = f_0 + k \text{ for some integer } k \right\}$$

• Since $k \leq -1$ or $k \geq 0$, we have the disjunction

$$\sum_{j:f_j \le f_0} \frac{f_j}{f_0} x_j - \sum_{j:f_j > f_0} \frac{(1 - f_j)}{f_0} x_j \ge 1$$

OR

$$-\sum_{j:f_j \le f_0} \frac{f_j}{(1-f_0)} x_j + \sum_{j:f_j > f_0} \frac{(1-f_j)}{(1-f_0)} x_j \ge 1$$

The Gomory Mixed Integer Cut

• Applying Proposition 2, we get

$$\sum_{j:f_j \le f_0} \frac{f_j}{f_0} x_j + \sum_{j:f_j > f_0} \frac{(1 - f_j)}{(1 - f_0)} x_j \ge 1$$

- This is called a *Gomory mixed integer* (GMI) cut.
- GMI cuts dominate the associated Gomory cut in general and can also be obtained easily from the tableau.
- In the case of the mixed integer set

$$S = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{p} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n-p} \mid \sum_{j=1}^{p} a_{j} x_{j} + \sum_{j=p+1}^{n} g_{j} x_{j} = a_{0} \right\},\$$

the GMI cut is

$$\sum_{j:f_j \le f_0} \frac{f_j}{f_0} x_j + \sum_{j:f_j > f_0} \frac{(1 - f_j)}{(1 - f_0)} x_j + \sum_{j:g_j > 0} \frac{g_j}{f_0} x_j - \sum_{j:g_j < 0} \frac{g_j}{(1 - f_0)} x_j \ge 1$$

The GMI closure

- A GMI cut with respect to a polyhedron \mathcal{P} is any cut that can be derived using the above procedure starting from any inequality valid for \mathcal{P} .
- The GMI closure is obtained by adding all GMI cuts to the description of \mathcal{P} .
- The GMI closure is a polyhedron, but optimizing over it is an \mathcal{NP} -hard problem in general.
- It follows that determining whether there is a GMI cut violated by an arbitrary vector is an \mathcal{NP} -complete problem.
- Nevertheless, we have just shown that separation of vectors that are basic feasible solutions to a given LP relaxation from the GMI closure can be accomplished in polynomial time.
- The *GMI rank* of both valid inequalities and polyhedra can be defined in a fashion similar to that of the C-G rank (more on this later).

Lift and Project

- Let's now consider $S = \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{B}^n$ and assume that the inequalities $x \leq 1$ are included among those in $Ax \leq b$.
- Note that $conv(S) \subseteq conv(\mathcal{P}_j^0 \cup \mathcal{P}_j^1)$ where $\mathcal{P}_j^0 = \mathcal{P} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_j = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_j^1 = \mathcal{P} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_j = 1\}$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.
- Applying Proposition 3, we see that the inequality (π, π_0) is valid for $\mathcal{P}_j = conv(\mathcal{P}_j^0 \cup \mathcal{P}_j^1)$ if there exists $u^i \in \mathbb{R}^m_+$, $v^i \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, and $w^i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ for i = 0, 1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &\leq u^{0}A + v^{0} + w^{0}e_{j}, \\ \pi &\leq u^{1}A + v^{1} - w^{1}e_{j}, \\ \pi^{0} &\geq u^{0}b, \\ \pi^{0} &\geq u^{1}b - w_{1}, \end{aligned}$$

• Notice that this is a set of linear constraints, i.e., we could write a linear program to generate constraints based on this disjunction.

The Cut Generating LP

• This leads to the cut generating LP (CGLP), which generates the most violated inequality valid for \mathcal{P}_j .

- The last constraint is just for normalization.
- This shows that the separation problem for \mathcal{P}_j is polynomially solvable.

Gomory Cuts vs. Lift-and-Project Cuts

- Note that all Gomory cuts are lift-and-project cuts.
- In fact, there is a direct correspondence between basic feasible solutions of the CGLP and basic (possibly infeasible) solutions of the usual LP relaxation.
- By pivoting in the LP relaxation, we can implicitly solve the cut generating LP (see Balas and Perregaard).
- Thus, the procedure for generating lift-and-project cuts is almost exactly the same as that for generating Gomory cuts.

Another Derivation

- Consider the following procedure:
 - 1: Select $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$.
 - 2: Generate the nonlinear system $x_j(Ax-b) \ge 0$, $(1-x_j)(Ax-b) \ge 0$.
 - 3: Linearize the system by substituting y_i for $x_i x_j$, $i \neq j$, and x_j for x_j^2 . Call this polyhedron M_j .
 - 4: Project M_j onto the *x*-space.
- In this case, the resulting polyhedron is again \mathcal{P}_j .
- This procedure can be strengthened in a number of different ways.

The Lift-and-Project Closure

• The lift-and-project closure is

$$\mathcal{P}^1 = \cap_{j=1}^n \mathcal{P}_j$$

- We have just shown that optimization over the lift-and-project closure can be accomplished in polynomial time.
- Let \mathcal{P}^k be the lift-and-project closure of \mathcal{P}^{k-1} for k > 1.
- The lift-and-project rank of \mathcal{P} is the smallest number k such that $\mathcal{P}^k = \operatorname{conv}(S)$.
- Surprisingly, the lift-and-project rank is bounded by n.
- Note that these results apply only to binary and mixed binary integer programs.

Split Inequalities

• Let $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ and $\pi_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be given and define

$$\mathcal{P}^{1} = \mathcal{P} \cap \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \pi x \leq \pi_{0} \}$$
$$\mathcal{P}^{2} = \mathcal{P} \cap \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \pi x \geq \pi_{0} + 1 \}$$

- Any inequality valid for conv(P₁ ∪ P₂) is valid for S and is called a *split* cut.
- The *split closure* is the set of points satisfying all possible split cuts and is a polyhedron.
- In fact, the split closure and the GMI closure discussed earlier are identical.
- We can define the *split rank* of an inequality and of a polyhedron as before.
- In the pure integer case, the split rank (and GMI rank) of *P* is finite, but it may not be in the mixed case.
- In the mixed binary case, the split rank is bounded by n.

Valid Inequalities for Mixed-Integer Sets

- So far, we have been dealing almost exclusively with polyhedra in which all variables have to be integer.
- We want to develop a procedure analogous to C-G for mixed-integer sets.

Proposition 4. Let $T = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{p} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n-p} \mid \sum_{j=1}^{p} a_{j}x_{j} + \sum_{j=p+1}^{n} g_{j}y_{j} \leq b\}$, where $a_{j} \in \mathbb{Q}$ for $0 \leq j \leq p$, $g_{j} \in \mathbb{Q}$ for $p+1 \leq j \leq n$, and $b \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then the inequality

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \lfloor a_j \rfloor x_j + \frac{1}{1-f_0} \sum_{j:g_j < 0} g_j y_j \le \lfloor b \rfloor.$$

• In fact, if $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $gcd\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} = 1$, and $b \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then the above inequality is facet-inducing for T.

Mixed-Integer Rounding Procedure

• Now consider the general mixed-integer set

$$T = \{ x \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+, y \in \mathbb{R}^p_+ \mid Ax + Gy \le b \}$$

• Given two valid inequalities

$$\sum_{j \in N} \pi_j^i x_j + \sum_{j \in J} \mu_j^i y_j \le \pi_0^i \text{ for } i = 1, 2,$$

we can construct a third inequality

$$\sum_{j \in N} \lfloor \pi_j^2 - \pi_j^1 \rfloor x_j + \frac{1}{1 - f_0} \left(\sum_{j \in N} \pi_j^1 x_j + \sum_{j \in J} \min(\mu_j^1, \mu_j^2) y_j - \pi_0^1 \right) \le \lfloor \pi_0^2 - \pi_0^1 \rfloor,$$

where $\pi_0^2 - \pi_0^1 = \lfloor \pi_0^2 - \pi_0^1 \rfloor + f_0$.