### Selected Topics in Column Generation

#### Mustafa R. Kilinc

February 1, 2007

Mustafa R. Kilinc COLUMN GENERATION

E

Choosing a solver for the Master

- Solve in the dual space(Kelly's method) by applying a cutting plane algorithm
- In the bundle method(Lemarechal), a quadratic term that penalizes the norm of the deviation to the current best dual solution to stabilize the search
- Apply Interior point method to the dual of RMP, it benefits by computing dual prices centered in the optimal face when dual admits alternative optimum solutions(also helps stabilization)
- A sub-gradient algorithm, finds approximate dual solution, uses little computation, may violate the master constraints

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ 回・ ・ 回・

- Simplex method leads to an optimal basis at random, thus It can produce complementary kinds of columns
- Interior point methods produce a solution in the relative interior of optimal face
- An approximation solution to RMP may suffice
- Volume algorithm(extension of subgradient algorithm) rapidly produces primal and dual approximate solutions
- Uses volumes below the active face to compute the dual variable values
- Advantages of the volume algorithm; small memory requirements, numerical stability, and fast convergence
- The solution method may be dynamically switched during the whole process
- Heuristics can be used to construct or improve dual variable at any time

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ 回・ ・ 回・

# Dual point of view, Kelly's method

- The dual of the RMP is the dual master problem.
- The pricing problem for RMP is a separation problem for the dual.
- Consider a pair of feasible and bounded primal and dual problems

 $\min \left\{ \boldsymbol{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} | \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{\lambda} \geq \boldsymbol{0} \right\} \text{ and } \max \left\{ \boldsymbol{b}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{u} | \boldsymbol{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{u} \leq = \boldsymbol{c} \right\}$ 

- Structural inequalities F<sup>T</sup>u ≤ f are added to the dual at initialization time, assuming these inequalities do not change optimal value
- Then, extended forms are

$${\it min}\left\{m{c}^{{\sf T}} \lambda + m{f}^{{\sf T}}m{y}|m{A} \lambda + m{F}m{y} = m{b}, \lambda, m{y} \geq m{0}
ight\}$$

and

$$max\left\{ b^{\mathsf{T}}u|A^{\mathsf{T}}u\leq c,F^{\mathsf{T}}u\leq f
ight\}$$

• From the primal perspective, we obtain a relaxation

A good restriction of the dual ployhedron is sought

# Preprocessing and variable fixing

- Standard preprocessing techniques can be used in column generation approach
- Not directly on master problem, but in the solution space of the original formulation
- Bounds on subproblem variables can be tightened
- The reduced cost fixing techniques also permit to strengthen subproblem variables bounds further
- Can be used to estimate the range of dual price values

• (1) • (

RMP must be started with a feasible primal solution

- Construct heuristically/Introduce artificial columns
- Artifical variables penalized by a "big M" cost
- Smaller M gives a tighter upper bound on the respective dual variables (reduces *heading-in effect*)
- Combine phase 1 and 2, remaining artificial variables at the end of phase 2 can be dropped by increasing their cost
- Artificial columns are kept in the problem during branch-and-bound, useful to restart column generation after adding branching constraints
- They also stabilize the column generation procedure

- Sometimes unit basis is already feasible, use estimate of actual cost coefficients instead of M
- After pre-processing phase, use estimates of the maximum and minimum value of master constraints to set artificial column coefficients
- Heuristic estimates of the optimal dual variable may be imposed as artificial upper bounds
- In primal, artificial columns act as slack variables and cuts in the dual. Thus they define upper bounds on dual prices
- Modifying their definitions dynamically is a way of controlling dual variables

Several drawbacks from standard method for solving column generation

- a slow convergence (tailing-off effect)
- the first iterations produce irrevelant columns and dual bounds due to poor dual information(*heading-in effect*)
- degeneracy in the primal and hence multiple optimal solutions in the dual: results in master solution value remains constant for a while (*plateau effect*)
- instability in the dual solutions that are jumping from one extreme value to another (*bang-bang effect*)
- likewise, the intermediate Lagrangian dual bounds do not converge monotonically(*yo-yo effect*)



Figure 1: Illustration of the convergence of a simplex-based column generation approach on the TSP instance ei176 of the TSPLIB: the upper (resp. lower) curve gives the primal (resp. dual) bound at each iteration.

(日)

Vignac classifies into 4 categories

- defining bounds on dual prices
- smoothing dual prices that are returned to subproblem
- penalizing deviation from a stability center (usually defined as the dual solution that gives the best Lagrangian bound value)
- working with interior dual solution rather than extreme dual solutions

• (1) • (

Some of basic techniques that can be implemented within the Simplex method

- Re-optimization after adding a column rather than optimization from scratch (toward the previous primal solution)
- Penalizing deviation from a stability center (using *L*<sup>1</sup> norm)
- Better formulation of master problem
  - Avoid redundant constraint in the master(creates degeneracy)
  - Use inequality constraints rather than equality constraints(if they yields same solution)
  - Use aggregate formulation of master constraints, this may bring stability

### **Basic Stabilization techniques**

- Managing artificial variables dynamically to control dual variables
- Intelligent initialization of artificial variables reduces heading-in effect
- Using a form of smoothing of dual prices
- Try to mimic the behaviour of alternative dual price updating methods (interior point, bundle method or ACCPM)
- In a branch-and-price algorithm, the exact solution of master LP might not always be needed, branch early or prune by bound

・ロ・・ 日・ ・ 日・ ・ 日・

- We are free to any variable from non-basic variables
- Classical Dantzig rule chooses the column with the most negative reduced cost
- An approximation to pricing problem suffices until the last iteration
- Alternative pricing rules; steepest-edge pricing and Devex variant

<日> < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

# Column re-optimization and post-processing

- Re-optimizing existing columns before calling on the oracle subproblem
- Apply a local search heuristic to active columns
- Introduce one column at a time and scan other after re-optimizing in multiple column generation procedure
- Use idea of lifting (Column generation lifting), increase column coefficients in master constraints by solving auxiliary subproblems

• (1) • (

## Column re-optimization and post-processing

- Use concept of *base-pattern*, It can be viewed as a seed for the generation of all columns that are represented by it
- To re-optimize a column, one can compute the best reduced cost column that can be obtained from its base-pattern
- For the cutting stock problem, a cutting pattern defines a partition of the wide-roll where cut pieces can be replaced by an assortment of smaller items
- An *exchange vector* is a valid perturbation: added to a feasible column, it gives another feasible column

<日</th>< 回</th>< 回</th>

## The Tailing-off effect

- Simplex-based column generation is known as poor convergence, only little progress per iteration is made close to optimum
- It may be time consuming to prove optimality of a degenerate optimal solution
- Since primal and dual solutions are updated iteratively, very small adjustments may be necessary close to optimum
- A problem specific reformulation of the pricing problem may help, that restrict attention to a set of well structured columns
- One has to also cope with numerical instability

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ 回・ ・ 回・

# Bang-bang effect

Instability in the dual solutions that are jumping from one extreme value to another

- Simple idea is to bound the dual variable values
- Boxtep method creates a box around the current optimal solution to dual RMP. If the new solution is attained on the boundary, relocate the box
- Weighted Dantzig-Wolfe Decomposition uses a convex combination of last multiplier and best multipliers found so far (In the context of Lagrangian Dual)
- This idea can be combined with column generation process, i.e. every few iterations improve current dual solution by some subgradient algorithm iterations
- Trust Region Method can be used to define box constraints, trust region parameter is updated in each itearation depending on how well dual RMP approximates Lagrangian dual problem

- Stop generating columns when tailing off occurs and take a branching decision
- Assuming integral cost coefficients, column generation can be terminated as soon as [LB] = [z] (z is current feasible solution)
- With incumbent integral objective value *ẑ*, a node can be pruned as soon as [*LB*] ≥ *ẑ*

▲□→ ▲ □→ ▲ □→

- Compatible branching scheme is needed which prevents columns that have been set to zero on from being regenerated without a significant complication of pricing problem
- This would lead to finding the k<sup>th</sup> best subproblem solution instead of optimal one
- Generally speaking, a decision imposed on the pricing problem is preferable to one imposed on the master problem as it directly controls the generated columns