Mixing mixed-integer inequalities

Kumar Abhishek

Lehigh University

C@RAL Seminar Series April, 2007

< 🗆

5990

- O. Günlük and Y. Pochet, Mixing mixed-integer inequalities, *Mathematical Programming*, 90(2001), 429-458.
- A. J. Miller and L. A. Wolsey, Tight formulations for some simple mixed integer programs and convex objective integer programs, *Mathematical Programming*, 98(2003), 73-88.
- M. Zhao and I. Farias, The polar of a simple mixed-integer set.

500

Introduction

Mixed-integer programming problem:

$$z = \min\{cx : x \in S\}$$

where

$$S = \{ x \in \mathcal{R}^{m_1} \times \mathcal{Z}^{m_2} : Ax \le b \}$$

How to obtain strong valid inequalities for S ?

- General purpose cutting planes, special purpose cutting planes based on structure, relaxations.
- MIR inequalities...
- Obtain new classes of valid inequalities by using known classes
- Iifting, mixing.
- Mixing: generating new valid inequalities by combining known MIR inequalities.

Given a mixed integer region $S \subseteq \mathcal{R}^{m_1} \times \mathcal{Z}^{m_2}$ and a collection of $m \ge 2$ valid inequalities for S:

$$f^{i}(x) + Bg^{i}(x) \ge \pi^{i} \quad i \in \mathcal{I} = \{1, \dots, m\}$$

$$(1)$$

< □ ▶

Sar

where

$$B \in \mathcal{R}^1_+, \pi \in \mathcal{R}^1, f^i(x) \ge 0, \text{ and } g^i(x) \in \mathcal{Z}$$

• valid inequalities of this form are called *base inequalities* from now on.

MIR inequality for the base inequality

• For
$$i \in \mathcal{I}$$
, let $\tau^i = \lceil \pi^i / B \rceil$, and $\gamma^i = \pi^i - (\tau^i - 1)B$.
• $\tau^i \in \mathcal{Z}^1$ and $B \ge \gamma^i > 0$.

Theorem

For any $i \in \mathcal{I}$, the so-called simple MIR inequality

$$f^i(x) \ge \gamma^i(\tau^i - g^i(x))$$

is valid for S.

Proof:

$$f^i(x) \ge \pi^i - Bg^i(x) = \gamma^i + B(\tau^i - g^i(x) - 1).$$

• For $x \in S$, either $g^i(x) \ge \tau^i$ or $g^i(x) \le \tau^i - 1 \dots$

< 🗆

Sac

(2)

• Nemhauser and Wolsey method for generating MIR on the set *H*:

$$\begin{split} H &= \{ (f,g) \in \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{Z} : -f \leq 0, -\frac{f}{B} - g \leq -\frac{\pi}{B} \} \\ \Rightarrow &-g - \frac{f}{\pi - B(\lceil \pi/B \rceil - 1)} \leq \lceil \pi/B \rceil, \\ &\equiv f \geq (\pi - B(\lceil \pi/B \rceil - 1))(\lceil \pi/B \rceil - g). \end{split}$$

- \Rightarrow (2) is an MIR inequality.
- This inequality suffices to obtain the complete linear description of conv(H).
- Now try this on mixed-integer sets with more than 1 base inequality.

Mixing procedure

• Let $I = \{1, \ldots, n\} \subseteq \mathcal{I} = \{1, \ldots, m\}$ be a subset of base inequalities.

$$ullet$$
 wlog, $\gamma^i \geq \gamma^{i-1}$ for all $n\geq i\geq 2.$

• given $\bar{f}(x) \in R^1$: $\bar{f}(x) \ge f^i(x) \ge 0 \; \forall x \in S, \; \forall i \in I$,

Theorem

The following mixed MIR inequalities

$$\bar{f}(x) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\gamma^{i} - \gamma^{i-1})(\tau^{i} - g^{i}(x))$$
(3)

and

$$\bar{f}(x) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\gamma^{i} - \gamma^{i-1})(\tau^{i} - g^{i}(x)) + (B - \gamma^{n})(\tau^{1} - g^{1}(x) - 1)$$
(4)

< D >

a

where $\gamma^0 = 0$, are valid for S.

Proof:

• For any fixed $\bar{x} \in S$, define $\beta = \max_{i \in I} \{ \tau^i - g^i(\bar{x}) \}$ and

•
$$v = max\{i \in I : \beta = \tau^i - g^i(\bar{x})\}.$$

- If $\beta \leq 0$, RHS of (3)-(4) is at most 0.
- Therefore assume that $\beta = \tau^v g^v(\bar{x}) \ge 1$.
- $\bullet \ \ \text{Using} \ \beta \geq \tau^i g^i(\bar{x}) \ \forall i \leq v \ \text{and} \ \beta \geq \tau^i g^i(\bar{x}) + 1 \ \forall i > v \text{,}$
- . . .

When |I| = 1 and $\overline{f} = f^1$, then (3) \Rightarrow (2) and (4) \Rightarrow (1).

Sar

Example

- Let $S = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}^1_+ \times \mathcal{Z}^2 : x_1 + 10y_1 \ge 3, x_1 + 10y_2 \ge 5\}$
- MIR inequalities associated with the base inequalities:

$$x_1 \ge 3(1 - y_1)$$

 $x_1 \ge 5(1 - y_2)$

• Mixed MIR inequalities with $\bar{f} = f^1 = f^2 = x_1$ and $g^i = y_i$:

$$x_1 \ge 3(1 - y_1) + 2(1 - y_2)$$

$$x_1 \ge 5(1 - y_2) + 2(1 - y_2) + 5(-y_1)$$

- All these inequalities together yield conv(S).
- Strength of (3)-(4) depends on choice of \bar{f} .

•
$$\overline{f}(x) \ge f^*(x) = \max_{i \in I} f^i(x)$$

• $f^*(x)$ not smooth in general.

Fixed charge capacitated network problem.

Aggregate flow balance constraints over a set of connected nodes...

•
$$\sum_{j \in N_1} x_j - \sum_{j \in N_2} x_j = d_j$$

- $\Rightarrow \sum_{j \in N_1} x_j \ge d$
- Partition of $N_1 = \{F, G\}$, using variable upper bound constraints $x_j \leq u_j x_j$ for $j \in G$ gives
- $\sum_{j \in F} x_j + \sum_{j \in G} u_j y_j \ge d.$
- Similar approach can be followed for other problems.

General purpose mixing from the simplex tableau

Solve the linear programming relaxation and rewrite the problem using the optimal basis:

$$z = \min\{cx : x_i + \sum_{j \in NV} \bar{a}_{ij}x_j = \bar{b}_i, x \ge 0, x \in \mathcal{Z}^n\}.$$

- Define several base inequalities for each row.
- for a fixed $i \in BV$ and coefficient $\sigma \in \mathcal{Z}$, define

$$g(x) = \sigma x_i + \sum_{j \in NV} \lfloor \sigma \bar{a}_{ij} \rfloor x_j$$
$$f(x) = \sum_{j \in NV} (\sigma \bar{a}_{ij} - \lfloor \sigma \bar{a}_{ij} \rfloor) x_j$$

• Relax to $f(x) + g(x) \ge \sigma \bar{b}_i$ (B = 1). • When $\sigma = 1$, (2) \equiv GMI cut.

Strengthening MIR and Mixed MIR inequalities

For a fixed $i \in BV$ and $\sigma \in cZ$, let $\tau = \lceil \sigma \bar{b}_i \rceil$ and $\gamma = \sigma \bar{b}_i - (\tau - 1)$

Associated MIR inequality:

$$\sigma \gamma x_i + \sum_{j \in NV} \left[(\sigma \bar{a}_{ij} - \lfloor \sigma \bar{a}_{ij} \rfloor) x_j + \gamma \lfloor \sigma \bar{a}_{ij} \rfloor \right] x_j \ge \gamma \tau$$
(5)

• if $(\sigma \bar{a}_{ij} - \lfloor \sigma \bar{a}_{ij} \rfloor) > \gamma$ for some $j \in NV$, then redefine g(x) and f(x):

$$g(x) = \sigma x_i + \sum_{j \in NV: \sigma \bar{a}_{ij} - \lfloor \sigma \bar{a}_{ij} \rfloor > \gamma} \lceil \sigma \bar{a}_{ij} \rceil x_j + \sum_{j \in NV: \sigma \bar{a}_{ij} - \lfloor \sigma \bar{a}_{ij} \rfloor \le \gamma} \lfloor \sigma \bar{a}_{ij} \rfloor x_j$$

Strengthening MIR and Mixed MIR inequalities...

$$f(x) = \sum_{j \in NV: \sigma \bar{a}_{ij} - \lfloor \sigma \bar{a}_{ij} \rfloor \le \gamma} (\sigma \bar{a}_{ij} - \lfloor \sigma \bar{a}_{ij} \rfloor) x_j$$

This gives the following MIR inequality (2):

$$\sigma \gamma x_i + \sum_{j \in NV} [\min(\sigma \bar{a}_{ij} - \lfloor \sigma \bar{a}_{ij} \rfloor, \gamma) + \gamma \lfloor \sigma \bar{a}_{ij} \rfloor] x_j \ge \gamma \tau$$

- This is clearly stronger than (5).
- For mixed MIR inequalities: same approach but more involved.
- pick a threshold value $\beta_j \in \mathcal{R}$ for each $j \in NV$ and relax the base inequality $f(x) + g(x) \ge \sigma \overline{b}_i$ if coefficient of x_j in f(x) is greater than $\beta_j \dots$

Strength of Mixing procedure

Possible to generalize (3) and (4)...

Lemma

The following inequality is valid for \boldsymbol{S}

$$\bar{f}(x) + \alpha \ge \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \delta^i(\tau^i - g^i(x))$$
(6)

provided:

$$\textcircled{0} \ (\delta,\alpha) \in \mathcal{P}^{C} \text{, where}$$

$$\mathcal{P}^{C} = \{ (\delta, \alpha) \in \mathcal{R}_{+}^{|\mathcal{I}|+1} : \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \delta^{i} \leq B$$
$$\sum_{j \leq i} \delta^{j} \leq \alpha + \gamma^{i}, \ \forall i \in \mathcal{I} \}$$

 $\ \ \, \textcircled{f}(x)\geq f^i(x) \ \, \text{for all} \ \, x\in S, i\in\mathcal{I} \ \, \text{with} \ \, \delta^i>0.$

(3) and (4) contain all important inequalities of form (6) with $(\delta, \alpha) \in \mathcal{P}^C$.

Lemma

Let $p = (\delta, \alpha)$ be an arbitrary non-zero extreme point of \mathcal{P}^C , define $I = \{i \in \mathcal{I} : \delta^i > 0\} = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\}$ with $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n$. The extreme point $p = (\delta, \alpha)$ is characterized by:

$$\begin{split} &\alpha \in \{0, B - \gamma^{i_n}\} \\ &\delta^{i_1} = \gamma^{i_1} + \alpha \\ &\delta^{i_j} = \gamma^{i_j} - \gamma^{i_{j-1}} \ j = 2, \dots, n \\ &\delta^i = 0 \ \text{for} \ i \in \mathcal{I} \backslash I. \end{split}$$

(6) generated by $(\delta, \alpha) \in \mathcal{P}^C$ is equivalent to or dominated by positive combinations of (3) and (4).

- If \bar{f} is fixed or known apriori, given a point $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{R}^{m_1} \times \mathcal{Z}^{m_2}$,
- separation problem is finding $(\hat{\delta}, \hat{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{P}^C$ that maximizes the RHS of

$$\bar{f}(\bar{x}) \ge \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \hat{\delta}^i(\tau^i - g^i(\bar{x})) - \hat{\alpha}.$$
(7)

- Let $h^i(x) = \tau^i g^i(x)$. Let $(\hat{\delta}, \hat{\alpha})$ be such that it has minimum number of non-zero components.
- Define $\hat{I} = \{i \in \mathcal{I} : \hat{\delta}^i > 0\} = \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ with $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n$.

•
$$\hat{\alpha} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \max_{j \in \mathcal{I}} \{ h^j(x) \} > 1.$$

• For all
$$i \in \mathcal{I}$$
, $i \in \hat{I} \Leftrightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} h^{i}(\bar{x}) &> h^{j}(\bar{x}) \; \forall j > i \\ h^{i}(\bar{x}) &> \max_{j \in \mathcal{I}} [h^{j}(\bar{x}) - 1] \\ h^{i}(\bar{x}) &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

• The optimal \hat{I} must satisfy

$$h^{i_1}(\bar{x}) > h^{i_2}(\bar{x}) > \ldots > h^{i_n}(\bar{x}) > \max\{h^{i_1}(\bar{x}) - 1, 0\}$$

- Can be found easily by computing and sorting $h^i(\bar{x})$.
- Values $\hat{\delta}^i$ for $i \in \hat{I}$ can be fixed using previous lemma.
- If $n \ge 1$ and $h^{i_1}(\bar{x}) > 1$, fix $\hat{\alpha} = B \max_{j \in \hat{I}} \{\gamma^j\}$ and 0 otherwise.

Variations

- When $f^i(x) < 0$ for base inequality $i \in \mathcal{I}$, MIR (2) not valid.
- If we know a lower bound, $f^i(x) \geq LB^i,$ then we can rewrite the base inequality:

$$(f^i(x) - LB^i) + Bg^i(x) \ge \pi^i - LB^i$$

• If base inequalities have the form

$$f^i(x) + B^i g^i(x) \ge \pi^i$$

then we define $\tau^i = \lceil \pi^i/B^i \rceil$ and $\gamma^i = \pi^i - B^i(\tau^i-1).$

- check if $\hat{B} = \min_{i \in I} \{B^i\} \ge \bar{\gamma} = \max_{i \in I} \{\gamma^i\}$
- relax base inequalities with small B^i 's by replacing with $\hat{\gamma}$.
- Another approach is to scale inequalities to increase \overline{B} or reduce $\overline{\gamma}$.

Next time... along with some more stuff... :)

< 🗆

996